SUBJECT NO. 94.

Recovery of arrears in inam villages.

(1) Mr. T. S. Krishna Rao, Mysore District Board.
Messrs. Kodandapani Chetty and Madappa, Mysore Taluk.
(2) Mr. Raghavachar, Nanjangud Taluk.
(3) Messrs. Karigiriyappa and Ramappa, Dodballapur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(1) The powers vested in Government under the Land Revenue Code have been delegated to inamdars; but they are allowed to collect arrears of revenue or gutta of only the previous six years. It is prayed that the arrears of any number of years may be allowed to be recovered by the inamdars and the land or lands to which the arrears relate made liable for such arrears just as land revenue is a paramount charge on a holding.

(2) According to the Land Revenue Code, the land revenue on Government occupied land is a paramount charge on the holding. But its application to raiyats' holdings in inam villages is not quite clear. It is requested that the Code may be so amended as to make it applicable to holdings in inam villages also in respect of arrears of kandayam due to an inamdar. When this question was urged last year, Government were pleased to admit that such help to the inamdar was necessary; but as yet no orders have been issued. The matter may be expedited.

(3) The power to forfeit and sell lands for arrears of kandayam without reference to previous encumbrances vested in Government regarding kandayam paying land, may be extended to superior holders with reference to arrears due to them from inferior holders.

Reply of Government.—The question of amending the law so as to constitute the rent a charge on the land and providing greater facilities for its recovery under the Land Revenue Code will be considered and, if necessary, a bill will be introduced in the Legislative Council. Rent due to an inamdar cannot be constituted a paramount charge on the land, so as to be unaffected by previous encumbrances.

SUBJECT NO. 95.

Lands endowed to temples by private persons.

Messrs. Kargiriyappa, Ramappa and Puttanna Chetty, Dodballapur Taluk; and Venkatanarana Chetty, Dodballapur Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—Inam lands endowed to temples by private persons are being treated as brahmadaya and khalsat levied thereon. In the interests of the temples these may be caused to be treated as devadaya and the khalsat remitted.

Reply of Government.—This cannot be done.

SUBJECT NO. 96.

Alienation of brahmadaya inams.

Mr. Lakshminaranappa, Heggaddevankote Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Brahmadaya and other minor inams are being alienated to non-Brahmins, frustrating thereby the object of the original grant. It is requested that orders may be passed invalidating such alienations, or if alienations are allowed, withdrawing the privileges attaching to the lands.

Reply of Government.—It is not desirable for Government to interfere in the case of such alienations as they will be virtually helping the alienors to commit fraud. The inams having been enfranchised, full assessment cannot be levied under the Inam Rules.